

## **REMARKS**

The Primary Examiner held that the Application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of invention:

<b>Species Group</b>	<b>Shown in Figs.</b>	<b>Claims</b>
I	1-5	1-8
II	6-10	9-17
III	11-15	18-25

Under 35 USC 121 Applicant was required to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits in the present Application.

In response to the Restriction Requirement Applicant elected Species III shown in Figs. 11-15 and the subject of Claims 18-25. Upon allowance of a generic claim, Applicant will seek consideration of claims to additional species which claims are written in dependent form or otherwise include all limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, Applicant will indicate which claims are readable upon the elected species as called for in Manual of Patent Examining Procedure Section 809.02(a).

Applicant does not traverse the Restriction Requirement.

In view of the foregoing Election, early action on the merits will be appreciated.

Respectfully,

By: *Charles E. Baxley*  
CHARLES E. BAXLEY  
Attorney of Record  
USPTO Reg. 20,149

59 John Street - 5th Floor  
New York, New York 10038  
Tel: (212) 791-7200  
Fax: (212) 791-7276

Dated: New York, NY  
October 14, 1999